NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

40p

DROWNING IN A SEA OF COLOUR

IMMIGRATION SHOCK REPORT

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.
Tel. 01-684-0271

Editor: Joe Pearce

Editorial Staff:
Nick Griffin, Nick Wakeling, Jim Capes, John Field, Steve Brady.

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of British Nationalism. It is printed, published and distributed by the N.T. Press. Editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors — and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in, or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing, and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published — which become *N.T.* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alterations to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must gain the permission of the Editor and under-take to include with the re-printed article the author's name — and the name and address of *Nationalism Today.*

BULK RATES

10 copies £ 3.50 + 94p post
20 copies £ 6.40 + £1.57p post
30 copies £ 9.60 + £1.57p post
40 copies £12.80 + £1.90p post
50 copies £14.50 + £1.90p post
60 copies £17.40 + £2.10p post
70 copies £20.30 + £2.10p post
80 copies £23.20 + £2.25p post
90 copies £26.10 + £2.25p post
100 copies £26.00 + £2.40p post
200-499 copies: 23p each)Postal rates apply
500 copies and over: 20p each for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

EDITORIAL

Issue No. 15

DROWNING IN A SEA OF COLOUR

THE NATIONAL FRONT has always stated that coloured immigration and the special treatment given to Blacks by the Government is turning the British people into second-class citizens in their own country. The N.F. has consistently attacked so-called 'positive' discrimination schemes which put coloured immigrants before the indigenous population. **Now, however, the situation has worsened to such a degree that the British people are not only becoming second-class citizens in their own country, they are now actually in danger of becoming a racial minority within their own country!**

The latest Government statistics show that the native White population of Britain could actually be outnumbered by Blacks and Asians within a very short time. Firstly, Government figures reveal that immigrants are still flooding into this country by the thousand. Secondly, however, and far more ominously, are the figures which show how many Whites are leaving Britain in order to escape the horrors of life in Britain's multi-racial jungle. The third reason for alarm is the very high birth-rate amongst the immigrants compared with the birth-rate among the British people.

When these three factors are combined the overall effect is easy to see. With more Blacks coming into the country, more Blacks being born here and more Whites leaving the country it is obvious that the British people are in danger of being drowned in a sea of colour.

The fight against coloured immigration has ceased to be a fight against Black muggers, or against Black-inspired race riots. It has become a life and death struggle for our very racial survival! We must stand together and fight together before it is too late, because if the British don't find the courage to fight soon we will face the prospect of being submerged forever beneath the rising tide of colour.

(See the shock report on the back page.)

Exposing Israel's Terror Campaign

READERS of *Nationalism Today* may be interested to see the anti-Zionist supplement which appears in this issue. We have decided to include four pages on Israel's terror campaign in the Middle East in order to let as many people know of these Jewish atrocities as possible.

The mass media is almost exclusively pro-Zionist and collectively it gives a very distorted view of the real situation in the Middle East. The purpose of the supplement is to counteract this pro-Zionist propaganda and to expose Israel for being a terrorist regime which thrives on the blood of its enemies.

RICH ASIANS BACK THE TORIES

WEALTHY ASIAN BUSINESSMEN have set up an exclusive club to raise money for the Tories.

And most of the 30 members of the Durbar Club – which has been operating fairly secretly for over a year – are multi-millionaires.

The annual membership fee alone is £1,000, and individual members are thought to be among those who regularly make significant donations to the Conservative Party.

The Durbar Club – durbar is an Indian word meaning court – has Mrs. Thatcher and the former PM Lord Home as its patrons.

The Durbar Club's elitist nature is clear from the aims set out by its chairman and secretary, Mr Narendar Saroop, an Indian who fought Greenwich in London for the Tories at the last General Election.

The club aims "to provide means of regular and continuing contact and communication between the financial and economic leaders of the Asian community and the top echelons of the business, political and social structures in Britain, and particularly with the top-tier leadership of the Conservative Party."

It also aims "to raise funds for the Conservative Party and generally to assist the party."

The Durbar Club holds dinners addressed by senior Tories. One of the club's vice-chairman, Mr Indra Sethia - whose sugar, jute and export/import interests have a turnover of £100 million in Britain alone – said: "When we let our hair down, it is with businessmen in our class, if you know what I mean."

One Asian community leader, Mr Praful Patel, said: "There are at least 200 Asian millionaires in Britain with huge homes and lavish life-styles."

As well as attracting the Super-Rich Asians, the Tories would also like to woo the ordinary Asian vote.

The Tories have already started to attract Asians through its Anglo-Asian Conservative Society, which has more than 20 branches.

The society's national secretary, Mr G J: Gohel, noted: "Many Asians are realising that the broad policies of the Conservative Party, particularly on the economic front, are in the best interests of the country."

To back this view up, many other Asians are making generous donations to the Tories. For example, Asian hotelier Mr Badru Virani, who is active for the Tories in the Wimbledon and Paddington areas of London, said that he had given the Tories donations of £1,000 and several smaller payments "of £400, £200 and the like."

Another Asian businessman has given the Tories a donation of £5,000.

Mr Virani, explaining his support for the Tories and their policies, said: "I believe we Asians are born Conservatives. We should stick to the party for businessmen."

G. J. GOHEL: National Secretary of the Anglo-Asian Conservative Society.

RICH TORIES BACK THE ASIANS

THOSE who vote Tory "to keep the Blacks out" should ponder a letter from Tory M.P. John Wheeler published in early February in his Party's Fleet Street mouthpiece the *Daily Telegraph*. For in it Wheeler admits that it is not a case of reluctantly honouring our "obligations under international law" to admit those Blacks and Asians with British passports, as right-wing Tories and other reactionaries have always maintained. On the contrary "Conservative Governments from 1951 to 1963 **encouraged** the Immigration of 450,024 people from the West Indies and the Indian sub-continent".

This figure of course excludes the untold hordes of **illegal** Coloured Immigrants who thronged into this country during that lax period. And it also excludes the vast waves of Coloured Immigrants who poured in under the later Tory Governments of 1970-74 (remember the Ugandan Asians?) and after 1979. Even so it is a third of the total official figure of Coloured Immigrants, 1½ million, let in during the last 35 years.

Together with the numbers entering under later Tory Governments, it is thus clear that far from Coloured Immigration being some sort of "left-wing plot" furthered under Labour rule, as the reactionaries and "anti-Immigration" Tories would have us think, most of Britain's Coloured Immigrants entered under **Conservative** Governments. And, as this pro-Thatcher Tory M.P. makes clear, they were not just "let in". They were actively **brought here** to serve as cheap labour for the Capitalists who ultimately control the Tory Party. Labour Governments certainly did nothing to stop an awful lot of Blacks coming in, and Labour, like the SDP/Liberals, have grovelled sickeningly to them once here. But they never stooped, as the Tories did, to sending Cabinet Ministers trundling round the Caribbean touting for Blacks to come here. As "anti-Immigration right-wing Tory hero" J. Enoch Powell did when Minister of Health in the early 1960's.

So the hard facts, admitted by one of their own M.P.'s, are that most Immigrants came here under Tory rule, with active Tory encouragement. Even Tory M.P. Wheeler, trying to defend his Government's Immigration record, admits that the "anti-Immigration" noises in the 1979 Tory Manifesto on which that Government was elected "have now become contradictory". Indeed, the present Tory Government let in 53,000 more Blacks and Asians last year (June 1981-June 1982) and has just watered down Immigration rules to let in uncounted hordes of so-called "fiances" from the Indian sub-Continent.

The facts speak for themselves. Most Coloureds came here under Tory rule with Tory encouragement. And they came because Capitalists – not Communists – wanted them here. Capitalism, not Communism, is the main cause of Coloured Immigration (indeed, Communists as such, as opposed to a liberal-Capitalist Labour Party, have never had any opportunity to bring a single Immigrant to these shores). So we oppose Capitalism not for reasons of some "leftist Racial Trotskyite aberration" as ignorant reactionaries claim but because **Capitalism causes Coloured Immigration.** Sending back the current crop of Blacks without dealing with the System that brought them here is as stupid as painting over measles spots without dealing with the germ that causes them! The only real way to "Keep Britain White" is to SMASH CAPITALISM!

ROCKING THE REDS

Rock Against Communism fanzine. Issue 3 out now. Send 40p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

NATIONALIST BOOKS

The NF's mail order book service. A full range of titles of interest to Nationalists and Racialists. Send 20p for list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

Multi-National Menaces British Workers

ANDERSON Strathclyde, the famous Scottish engineering firm, is one of the few success stories to be found in Britain's ailing economy. Last year it made record pre-tax profits of £11.5 million and it provides valuable employment for hundreds of workers in an area where jobs are scarce.

Now, however, the company's future is in doubt. Anderson Strathclyde has become the victim of a takeover bid by the South African controlled multi-national, Charter Consolidated.

Not surprisingly, both management and workers alike are opposed to this takeover bid by a foreign-owned company. Management sought to resist Charter Consolidated by referring their bid to the Monopolies Commission. The Commission ruled that the takeover should not be allowed but its decision was overruled.

It was then that the workers at Anderson Strathclyde began to smell a rat. Shop stewards claimed that the company was the victim of City and political "skullduggery", that the Government decision to allow the bid to go ahead was caused by "City hostility to the Monopolies Commission" and that Charter Consolidated wanted to take over Anderson Strathclyde to gain an "air of respectability" in Britain.

All of this suspicion by shop stewards and workers at Anderson springs from the underhand way in which the original decision by the Monopolies Commission was reversed. The Commission had ruled that it would be "against the public interest" to allow Charter's bid to go ahead but the ruling was overturned by a Government Minister who was acting under powers delegated to him by Lord Cockfield, the Trade Secretary. **Lord Cockfield just happens to be a shareholder in Charter Consolidated!**

And this brings us to a wider issue concerning the future of the company; the way in which other shareholders, besides Lord Cockfield, have been working in opposition to the interests and wishes of both management and workforce.

TOOTH-AND-NAIL

Many of Anderson Strathclyde's leading institutional shareholders are becoming "increasingly worried" about the company's tooth-and-nail fight for an independent future. As far as they are concerned the views of the workforce are irrelevant. All that matters is that they get a maximum return on their investment, and if that means that the company must be taken over by a foreign multi-national then that's fair enough. After all, many of these institutional shareholders are themselves international capitalists who will invest in any country which will give them the best deal. For these super-rich capitalists patriotism is a mug's game which is not good for business.

But for the workers at Anderson Strathclyde it's a very different story indeed. Their opposition to the foreign takeover springs from the fear that it could put their jobs at risk.

And this fear can be seen as a very valid one when the track record of Charter Consolidated is taken into account. In 1965, they took over a factory in Glasgow called Duncan Low, which made oil heaters. In 1975, while it was still making a profit, Duncan Low was closed down with the loss of many jobs.

It's not surprising then, when one considers Charter Consolidated's previous experience in Scotland, to learn of the apprehension of workers at Anderson Strathclyde.

Mr Eric Taylor, a convenor at Anderson's plant in Glenrothes, Fife, summed up the fears of his fellow workers when he said: "We would not take any action that would give them the excuse to shut down any section of Anderson Strathclyde."

The fears of Mr Taylor and the other workers at Anderson Strathclyde wouldn't be necessary under a National Front Government, nor would the corruption which has surrounded Charter Consolidated's attempt to take over the Scottish firm. Quite simply, a National Front Government would **never** allow a foreign multi-national to own even a small share of any British company, let alone take it over. **British industry must be owned by British workers, and by British workers alone!**

The Real Face of the Multi-Nationals

THE WORKERS of Anderson Strathclyde, if they are going to be forced to accept the foreign ownership of their firm, at least have the right to know something about their new owners.

Charter Consolidated is part of Harry Oppenheimer's enormous financial empire which is based in South Africa. This empire also includes the Anglo-American Corporation and De Beers, two multi-national companies which are even bigger than Charter Consolidated.

Marxist writers, such as Paul Foot in the *Daily Mirror*, are always quick to label Charter as a "South African company" in order to link it with that country's apartheid regime which they detest so much. However, what they fail to mention is the fact that Charter Consolidated's owner, Harry Oppenheimer, is vehemently opposed to the apartheid system and is an ardent multi-racialist. In fact, Oppenheimer the super-capitalist has done every bit as much as his Marxist "rivals" to oppose racialism in South Africa. In 1980 he stated that "racial discrimination and free enterprise are incompatible, and failure to eradicate the one will ultimately result in the destruction of the other."

However, it's a pity that Oppenheimer and Charter Consolidated aren't as compassionate towards their employees as they are towards the Blacks in South Africa... A subsidiary of Cape Asbestos, which is owned by Charter Consolidated, owes £32 million to 250 workers and their families in Bloomington, Illinois, USA.

The workers are either dead or dying from terrible diseases connected with asbestos. £32 million damages were awarded against the Cape subsidiary which supplied the asbestos. Not a penny has been paid. The subsidiary conveniently went into liquidation.

KNOW YOUR BOSS



Harry Oppenheimer, the Jewish boss of Charter Consolidated.

SMASH THE MULTI-NATIONALS!

HOUSING FOR THE FUTURE

THE RECENT design and construction of a block of flats known as Cleveland Reach in Bath must come as a welcome development for all radical Nationalists especially interested in the areas of housing and energy conservation.

For the flats – pictured right – incorporate several energy-saving schemes along with traditional house design.

And they are built to conserve energy naturally – and do not require any conventional central heating system.

The flats have triple glazing on windows and a double thickness of roof and wall insulation. They also incorporate a new type of ventilator which not only keeps the air fresh without having to open windows, but also recycles 65 per-cent of the heat in the waste air back into any room. This reduces average heating requirements by about 30 per-cent.

The end flats have a heat-absorbent wall on the south side to take in the sun's energy and distribute it generally inside.

The architects reckon these energy-saving measures will cut heating bills from around



£200 a year to little over £50 a year.

In the Racial-Nationalist folk state of the future, flats, tower blocks and sprawling council estates will be a thing of the past, with traditionally-designed houses – incorporating the energy-saving system described above – the norm.

And with the nationalisation of the banks, and the abolition of interest payments on mortgages, interest-free loans to families, every White working family will be able to buy their own property at a fair and reasonable price.

HEALTH CUTS KILL BABIES

A YOUNG hospital doctor wept in desperation as she tried to find an intensive care cot for a premature baby. Eventually, after six phone calls and with tears streaming down her face, she finally tracked down a hospital that could take the baby girl.

The baby girl's mother, Marie Millett, spoke out angrily about her daughter's ordeal: "I knew my baby might need intensive care, but I had no idea she would have to be hawked around from hospital to hospital."

Marie spoke out as it was revealed that thousands of new-born babies are in danger because of a shortage of intensive care cots. But in many ways Mrs Millett was lucky. At least her baby lived...

A former teacher from Epsom, Surrey was not so fortunate. She gave birth to twins ten weeks prematurely who both required urgent attention. It took doctors four hours of frantic telephone calls to ten hospitals to find intensive care cots for the baby boys. In the meantime one of the babies died.

The mother said afterwards: "Perhaps my baby might have lived if intensive care facilities were available on the spot."

A leading gynaecologist described this situation as "scandalous". Babies are dying needlessly or have to go through life handicapped, he said.

Meanwhile, Professor Stuart Campbell, head of obstetrics and gynaecology at King's College, London, said: "We have just FOUR intensive care cots for 46,000 deliveries. Sometimes there is not a single intensive care cot available in the whole of London.

A protest march in London against cuts in the health service.

Last month 20 new-born babies in desperate need of intensive care had to be turned away from King's because all four of our cots were occupied. It is just a lottery."

More than two years ago a top level report recommended that the number of intensive care cots should be more than doubled to four hundred, as a first step. The government rejected the proposal on the grounds that the money wasn't available.

Meanwhile, it was announced that the Tory Government is to finance the sending of additional British medical teams to Cambodia...

Constables Nicked

THE massive buying power of the Capitalist Paul Getty Museum in California, which has spent an estimated £26 million in the past 11 months, will lead to a marked escalation in prices and threaten Britain's art heritage, experts said in London in February.

When Paul Getty, the American oil multimillionaire, died in 1976, he left about £380 million in oil shares to the art museum he had built, as a monument to his immense egotism, at Malibu. The last probate hurdle affecting this will was overcome a year ago, releasing an unprecedented buying power onto the international market. The more so as, to keep its "tax exempt" status under U.S. law, the Getty museum has to spend at least 75% of its estimated share income of £1,500,000 a week on buying up paintings.

So far, the plutocrat's museum, sustained by the fraudulent share system, has mainly bought works of art from Britain and Europe trading through London dealers; shipping them from their home countries to be gawped at by cultureless and ignorant boors in the transatlantic homeland of crass materialism and bad taste.

Mr. Hugh Legatt, secretary of Heritage in Danger, who fruitlessly raised the issue of the continuing export of our art treasures at a Commons Select Committee two years ago, said: "While the Getty Foundation has paid £26 million on works, we in this country are still inflicting VAT on those owners offering or selling works to the nation."

But this misses the point. Our artistic heritage, the immortal works of men like Turner and Constable, is an expression of our national and cultural soul. It must be the property of the nation and kept on the soil from which it sprang.

Labour's Priorities

Tam Dalyell, Labour M.P. for West Lothian, clearly demonstrates Labour's priorities. Replying to a letter from a 19-year-old Falklander who won the British Empire Medal for his courage during the Argentine Occupation, the Labour M.P. blamed the "selfishness and intransigence" of the Falklanders for the war and told these Britons to go to New Zealand if they didn't like being ruled by the Argentines. He ended "Bluntly... dispossessed Africans evicted from Nigeria are higher up the list of priorities than you."

National Front News
A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £9.00.

HOME AFFAIRS

THE REVOLTING FACE OF CAPITALISM

MARGARET THATCHER wallowed smugly in the fulsome praise of the media for her "heroic and inspiring leadership" during the Falklands' War. Reading some Tory papers one could have been forgiving for thinking that she stormed Port Stanley single handed!

The reality is that now, only months after the deaths of hundreds of British servicemen, Thatcher is backing a Bankers-organised scheme that will help Argentina to re-arm. At a recent meeting of the Executive Board of the International Monetary Fund, of which Thatcher henchman Geoffrey Howe is a leading member, it was decided to organise loans of $2,000 m. to Argentina to finance an "economic stabilisation programme". British banks will participate in the loan arrangements.

Thatcher defended these loans, claiming that the money would not be used to buy arms, yet she admitted in Parliament that if money was lent for one purpose it released funds for other purposes. At present Argentina is spending substantial amounts of money on rearming its armed forces. Thanks to this IMF loan, funds that would otherwise have had to be used in servicing Argentina's external debts can now be used to finance their continuing arms build-up. **Should Argentina ever take any further military action against the Falklands then this nauseating hypocrite Thatcher will be morally responsible for any deaths or maimings amongst our servicemen.**

Thatcher showed herself to be a puppet of the banks when she argued that it was "not in the interest of the financial system of this country that there should be defaults (by Argentina) on either interest or capital". Policies in the interests of the financial system, and those in the interest of the people of a country are two separate things. By her actions Thatcher has shown where her loyalties lie – and the National Front must ensure that one day she gets her just desserts.

On The Fiddle

THE GOVERNMENT, and their allies in the press keep harping on about alleged "Social Security spongers". This in spite of the fact that over £500 million is left unclaimed for each year (mainly due to people being unaware of their rights or, more likely, because they are afraid of being smeared if they apply for what is rightly theirs).

To back up their smear campaign, the Tories plan to increase the number of SS investigators and to give them wider powers, some of which are even greater than those given to the police!

However there is another kind of 'sponger' whom the Tories seem less keen to persecute – the tax fiddlers. These fiddlers (in the main wealthy businessmen, the aristocracy and other rich personalities) cost the country some **£4 billion** a year – enough to build 250 new hospitals! Their vast wealth being salted away in tax havens such as the Cayman and Channel Isles or through smart accountants into various Foundations or Trusts, or "lost" underneath a mountain of legal paperwork, or through loopholes in the Law. Unlike investigators for the SS, Inland Revenue investigating recruitment is going to be decreased – and then most of their time has been spent checking on people who do small jobs, such as window cleaning, car repairs etc, without declaring their earnings.

Whilst all sponging against the nation is to be deplored, the Tories certainly have their priorities wrong. These rich fiddlers – the real spongers – should be kicked out of the country, and their property and wealth confiscated.

HP SAUCE

EACH MAN, woman and child in Britain owes an average of £200 on hire purchase agreements. Last year we went on a record £11 Billion "never-never" spree, after the government scrapped credit curbs.

These curbs made it harder for H.P. companies to lure people into purchases they couldn't afford. That meant that they were limiting the profits of finance companies and giant manufacturing corporations. So in Mrs. Thatcher's "free enterprise dream", even these inadequate restrictions on usury had to go.

In the short-term, millions of people enjoyed Christmas on the slate, running up record new debts of £874 million in just one month. The main items signed up for were cars and household appliances such as T.V.s.

But a high proportion of these goods are imported, so the artificial boom won't create many new jobs, except among the parasites of the advertising world. So many people will find that they can't keep up the repayments. Others will realise that the high-pressure sales techniques of "keep up with the Jones'" consumerism have pushed them into buying things they don't really want or need. And everyone will find that the wasteful and immoral policy of built-in obsolescence means that the objects are falling apart before they are even paid for.

It's no wonder that more and more people are realising that the System might be a dream for Mrs. Thatcher and her capitalist pay-masters, but it's more like a nightmare for the rest of us.

DUNLOP TIRED OF BRITAIN

MORE AND more big industrial companies are closing down their British factories and setting up their operations in Communist or Asian countries.

One of the worst offenders is Dunlop, which has large factories in the Soviet bloc, as well as interests in much of the Third World. But they plan to go even further. While Dunlop plants in Britain are being run down, the company has just signed an agreement to produce tyres in Red China, for export.

Dunlop will provide the technology and equipment, as well as a £5.3 million loan. Once the factory is built, the Chinese will repay Dunlop with profits they make from exporting their tyres to Britain and other White countries where wages are comparatively high.

So while their British workforce join the dole-queue, Dunlop will join the large number of multi-national companies which are strongly opposed to import controls.

INDIA: How Asians Treat Their Immigrants

"OVER A THOUSAND men, women and children were massacred in vicious anti-immigrant riots. Afterwards hundreds of decomposing bodies lay scattered around muddy fields, many mutilated and with their heads cut off. One beheaded child was found lying beside the bullet-ridden body of one of its parents. Survivors helped pull the bloated bodies of women and children from out of the nearby streams that they had fallen into, as they attempted to flee the carnage."

No, the above isn't a quote from a dusky-hued race-relations "expert" fantasizing about life in Southall if the dreaded NF were to come to power – it's what actually happened in late February in the Indian province of Assam, to Bangladeshis who were unfortunate enough to be immigrants in another Asian nation, rather than in Britain.

The town of Nellie and surrounding villages in the Nowgong district of Assam, which were packed with Moslem Bangladeshi immigrants, were attacked by thousands of screaming Lalung tribesmen, armed with spears, bows and arrows, and ancient matchlocks. Survivors told how Bangladeshi men fled from their attackers, leaving their wives and children to be butchered in a frenzy of hacking and stabbing, in their homes and in the nearby fields.

Political opposition to Bangladeshi immigration is led by the All-Assam Students Union, who have fought for years to protect the Assamese language, culture and sense of identity in the face of the invasion of four million immigrants.

STARK CONTRAST

The contrast between the actions of Asians in Asia, and their words when in Britain, is stark. Immigrants here are quick to condemn those who oppose their presence in this country. How many gullible Whites are made to feel a sense of guilt by oft-repeated Afro-Asian pleadings that they are being persecuted by those wicked racialists who want to keep Britain for the British? Yet when it is **their** countries that are being invaded and **their** culture and way of life that is being threatened Africans and Asians become more militantly racialist than any NF member.

In Africa, when Nigeria repatriated 2 million immigrants, it didn't adopt a policy of orderly and humane repatriation over many years with state assistance to those being moved, as the NF has advocated – they simply told immigrants they had 2 weeks to get out or else.

In Asia the Assamese don't mount law abiding campaigns calling for the government to peacefully repatriate all immigrants – they just slaughter them.

These Bangladeshis were slaughtered during anti-immigrant riots in Assam.

The events in Assam and Nigeria prove that British Nationalists should feel no guilt for desiring to rid Britain of its immigrants. In calling for the phased, orderly, Government assisted repatriation of all coloured immigrants to their homelands we are treating them far better than they treat others in similar circumstances.

AFRICA: How Blacks Treat Their Women

A SICKENING demonstration of the ignorance and barbaric savagery of the African Negro was splashed across the TV screens of Britain on March 3rd. For that evening BBC-2's *Forty Minutes* programme exposed the revolting practice of female circumcision, which goes on in 30 countries across Black Africa and is on the increase.

This foul rite consists of the savage mutilation of the female genitalia. The clitoris, labia and a variable part of the surrounding tissues are crudely hacked or torn away, usually without anaesthetic, after which the opening is roughly stitched up. The purpose is to prevent the victims from enjoying sexual intercourse – though often the rite is bungled and leaves them unable to have sex at all – and to please Negro males, who prefer their women mutilated in this way. It is usually carried out on children under 7 years old, sometimes on babies, by illiterate tribal midwives or the victim's mother or grandmother. But there have been occasions where a bridegroom, finding on his wedding night that his bride still has her genitals entire, has proceeded to make good the deficiency on the spot with a kitchen knife or a dagger.

The Blacks claim their revolting habits are "demanded by Islamic law" but Arab Koran scholars indignantly deny this, and indeed female circumcision is rare in the more civilised Arab world. Official efforts to ban the practice have come to nothing, due to the proverbial corruption and incompetence of Black African Governments and to the fact that the police and judges who are supposed to enforce such bans sympathise with the practice in question and often have had it inflicted themselves on their own wives and daughters. It is estimated that 84 million Black African women have been thus mutilated.

MUTILATED

During the Mau-Mau atrocities in Kenya in the 1950's, White British women captured by the Black savages who now rule the country were often horribly mutilated in this way, just before being repeatedly raped. And today, the obscene rite is, the BBC revealed, carried out in secret in exclusive Harley Street clinics on the daughters of diplomats and other rich, superficially urbane and civilised, African Blacks resident in London. As well as going on more frequently in native African huts as the Dark Continent slips inexorably back into the midnight of savagery.

The BBC, for once, performed a valuable service. In harrowing scenes of screaming Black children writhing under the knife (some of which were cut from the final transmitted programme) they brought home to the trendy liberal BBC-2 audience a side of the African Negro usually carefully kept off the TV screen – a sub-human jungle animal which savagely tortures and mutilates its own offspring. If they behave like that in their own countries, can anyone wonder why millions of Britons don't want Blacks here?

Black Ice

THE North Pole may not be fit for human habitation soon – not just because of its fierce cold – but because of the ravages of unrestrained Capitalism.

For researchers at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory in California, USA, have recently and unexpectedly discovered that the once pristine Arctic is almost as dirty as most Western cities.

And the culprit is soot. Tiny graphite particles tossed into the atmosphere, primarily from huge industrial blast furnaces, are being blown across to the Arctic circle.

When scientists passed air and melted snow through filters at a US government research station in Barrow, Alaska, they trapped as much dirt in three hours as they would have done during one hour in any industrialised city.

STEVE BRADY

How Will Oil Prices Affect The Economy?

THE CURRENT uncertainty about the world price of oil threatens Britain's economy because, and only because, of the international Capitalist system of which our economy is a part. Under Nationalism, it would not worry us at all.

At the moment, any fall in oil prices hits the British people at once by reducing the only direct income we get from our North Sea oil: the tax on the profits other people make out of selling it. Each drop of $1 in the price of a barrel of oil cuts the Exchequer's income by £250 million a year. That means higher taxes for us, or even more Government cuts in the public services.

And a big drop in oil prices would hit the British economy even harder. British banks are owed $23 billion by other oil exporting countries, who depend on their oil revenue to repay these loans. And much of the money they have on deposit comes from



rich OPEC Arab states, who would need to withdraw these funds to cover imports if the oil price fell. The result would be a banking collapse, or at least a brutal credit squeeze, and massive unemployment.

Such an oil price slump would also lead to a run on the pound in international money markets. International currency speculators would take their custom elsewhere, since only Britain's oil wealth makes the pound an attractive investment at present. The result would be the collapse of the pound against other currencies, sending the price of imported goods soaring.

And if the oil price dropped far, oil producing countries could not afford to buy our exports, causing redundancies and closures. Cusson's soap factory in Salford, Lancashire, has closed with 260 redundancies because, thanks to the current oil crisis, a major overseas customer has had to cancel its £11 million a year purchase of Cusson's soap.

Finally, once the oil price drops below $25 a barrel (it is currently about $30 for North Sea oil), progressively more and more North Sea oilfields would be closed, because the multinational corporations who work them could not sell their oil at a profit in World markets. Taxes and petrol prices would soar, the economy would slump, and today's recession would look like a boom.

SELF-SUFFICIENT

But none of this need happen, regardless of what may happen to the world price of oil, because we need not sell our oil to the rest of the World at all. Britain is the World's fifth biggest oil producer, and our superb quality light, sulphur-free crude oil makes up 25% of the World's supply. We are self-sufficient in oil, with some left over. That wealth should belong to the British people, not foreign multinationals. If we nationalised all North Sea oil without compensation to foreign plutocrats, we could inject our oil wealth direct into the economy, rather than merely skimming off a tiny fraction of the profits. With our oil under British State control (the only form of ownership likely to prove practical for such a large-scale enterprise) we would be self-sufficient in energy, plastics, and many other hydrocarbon raw materials without spending a penny abroad. It goes without saying that North Sea oil production would then be determined by the needs of the British people, not by the latest price level agreed by haggling plutocrats.

Also, such a policy of self-sufficiency could be applied to fields other than oil. It is ridiculous that British industry sweats to sell its produce abroad so British consumers can buy the same produce made abroad. It is not exports but markets that create jobs, and the British people would be a sufficient market once we banned the import of anything we can make or grow ourselves. The little we do need from abroad (a few tropical crops and some strategic metals) we could barter for with our own manufactured goods and perhaps surplus oil. Thus whether foreign countries could afford our exports or we could afford their imports would matter not.

Furthermore, if we nationalised the banks and prevented them loaning money abroad or accepting it from foreigners on deposit we would not risk our economy being linked to, and possibly dragged down with, the economies of other countries. Such a State Bank, if it issued money into circulation debt and interest free in direct ratio to the amount of goods and services produced by the national economy, would thereby ensure that there was enough spending power in circulation for our people to buy what our industries produce. Thus an adequate domestic market in the absence of exports and hence jobs would be assured.

CONCRETE WEALTH

Finally, and as a result of this last point, the pound, like currencies such as the Soviet ruble, would not be available on the world money markets as a speculators' plaything. The only foreigners who could "buy sterling" would be those coming here to spend it. Hence the "value" of our money would be fixed by the concrete wealth our people produced, rather than bobbing up and down like a yo-yo at the whim of global "wide boys".

At present, the British economy is entwined with the global Capitalist system, and is at the mercy of any fluctuation in that system, such as the price of oil. Under British Nationalism, our economy would be largely self-sufficient and entirely independent of others, safe from the storms that increasingly ravage the tottering edifice of the World Capitalist economy. Thanks to her vast North Sea oil reserves, Britain is one of the few nations in the World that is entirely self-sufficient in her energy supply. So Britain's oil should be a source of prosperity for our people, not a cause of potential crisis in our economy.

OTTO STRASSER ON COMMUNISM

"My rejection on principle of any kind of Bolshevism (and of the Marxism on which it is based), together with my insistence on the maintenance of individual rights, creative initiative, and the pursuit of economic gain, must protect me against an erroneous interpretation of my demand for the subdivision of great landed estates and the nationalisation of monopolist industry.

Whoever recognises the truth of the saying 'Property makes free', whoever affirms the necessity for sustaining stratum in any satisfactory social order – must look forward to the new order which will aim at the de-proletarianisation of the people, and at our liberation from the social and economic monopolies under whose harrow no sort of freedom is possible:"

"Marxism is a socialism both liberal and alien, a doctrine whose liberal factors necessarily unfit it for the upbuilding of the socialist future."

"Thanks to Marx, Engels and Kautsky, etc., all typical liberals both by origin and by nature, socialism took the liberal path towards alienism, as was plainly shown by its relation to the International, its class war tactics, and its materialist philosophy."

VICTORY TO PALESTINE!



10p

ISRAEL - The Hate State

BY DEREK HOLLAND

THE WAR of aggression launched last year by Israel against the Lebanon has caused a major shift in attitude towards the Zionist State amongst many in Europe and the USA. But this in no way reflects any change in the nature of Israel itself. The Zionist State has always been brutal, arrogant and paranoid, and the Palestinian people will never get the justice they deserve whilst the illegal Israeli regime survives.

But if this were true, wouldn't the entire world know of Israel's rabid history and regard Beirut 1982 not as an exception, but as the rule?

Dr. Alfred Lilienthal, the Jewish political writer, explained in *The Zionist Connection* why Israel's sordid history is **not** common knowledge:

"On every issue of concern, both sides have invariably been publicly presented, no matter how controversial. It has only been on the subject of Jews, Zionism and Israel that the USA and most of the Western world have had a near total blackout."

The guilty party, the party with the blood of innocents on its hands, is the media, infested as it is with fanatical Zionists or *shabbez goyim*, grovelling non-Jews, who prefer to propagate pro-Israeli lies for money and prestige, whilst Palestinians languish in indescribable squalor.

MEDIA MANIPULATION

In order to hide the truth about Israel the Media Jews maintain an anti-Arab bias day in, day out, using subtle and not so subtle techniques. For instance, the Israelis are consistently portrayed as peace-loving freedom-fighters, whilst the Arabs are either terrorists or 'neo-nazis'. While the Israelis "make reprisals", the Arabs "commit atrocities"!

Whenever a crisis erupts in the middle East, the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews instantly mobilizes to stifle any anti-Israeli dissension. While newspaper articles bulge with slick, misleading statements from Board members Greville Janner and Jacob Gewirtz, the Palestinian viewpoint is invariably reduced to a one line comment from a PLO representative. The entire effort of the Zionist media is directed towards convincing Britons that **all** Jews are democrats and humanitarians and **all** Arabs gun-toting psychopaths.

HOLOCAUST DRIVEL

Not the least of the weapons used by the Jews to 'justify' the liquidation of the Palestinian people is the "Holocaust" fairy story. This tale has been demolished by Jewish and non-Jewish scientific and historical researchers, yet the media continue to promote this overplayed soap opera. The intensity of this campaign is such that one could be forgiven for thinking that **only** Jews died in the last war.

The 'Holocaust' is as mythical as Menachem Begin's claim to be humanitarian, but even if every detail of this saga was the Gospel truth, it could not justify the forceable eviction of the Palestinians from their lands, the killing off of its intelligentsia and the inhuman persecution that those in the occupied territories have endured at the hands of the Jews. Two wrongs do not make a right and a mythical Jewish Holocaust does not justify a horribly real Arab Holocaust.

FACADE OF DEMOCRACY

The number of myths associated with Israel is legion, but perhaps the most ludicrously untenable is the notion that Israel is a democratic state.

The aspirations of the Palestinians **play no role whatever** in Israeli 'democracy', although some 2,000,000 Arabs are subject to the dictates of the Jewish Parliament. Supporting this thesis Dr. Israel Shanak, Chairman of the Israeli League for Human and Civil Rights stated:

"In this State, people are discriminated against in the most permanent and legal way and in the most important areas of life, only because of their origins."

That this hardline approach to the Arabs is not the responsibility of Begin or Sharon, but rather of a prevalent Jewish mentality, may be appreciated by considering the words of the late "moderate" Israeli PM, Golda Meir:

"There was no such thing as Palestinians. It was not as though there was a Palestinian people and we came and threw them out and took their country from them. They did not exist."

So the Jewish logic is that it is impossible to persecute that which does not exist! It is hardly surprising therefore to find that there is no **legal** opposition to the Zionist state. Demonstrations, pickets, strikes, leaflets etc are all outlawed and carry draconian punishment if contravened. For instance in 1976 the Middle East Resources Centre estimated that over 3,200 Palestinians were being illegally detained, whilst between 1967 and 1976 over 1,500 Arab patriots were deported. In 1978 *Time* magazine reported that over 25% of Bethlehem University's Arab students had been interrogated, many being arrested on charges like 'indiscreet talk'.

The publication of *With My Own Eyes* by Felicia Langer, Jewess, which detailed the activities of Israel's sinister Shin Beth secret police, brought forth the usual twaddle about "anti-semitism". Nonetheless independent investigations by the Red Cross and Amnesty International confirmed the substance of Langer's charges. Amnesty, in its document *Israeli Methods of Torture*, said methods included:

* **Slamming doors on hands.**
* **The use of electric shocks.**
* **Pulling out finger nails with pliers.**
* **Placing a metal can over the head and neck of a detainee and then beating it with a club for a prolonged period.**
* **Homosexual rape.**
* **Applying irritant chemicals to the skin or into the bloodstream.**
* **Inserting matchsticks into the penis and then lighting them.**

This is 'democracy' Israeli style. The Bandit State was created by Terror and is maintained by Terror. The time for justice in Palestine has long passed. Enough is enough! ISRAEL MUST BE DESTROYED!

BEIRUT: NEVER AGAIN!

SOME JEWISH MYTHS ABOUT PALESTINE

The Jews have a historical and racial claim to Palestine.

Although Palestine has been inhabited for several thousand years, the Jews have only ruled it for a total of 300 years, the last time being in AD 70 when they were dispersed by the Romans. Additionally Arthur Koestler; the Jewish authority, has demonstrated conclusively in *The Thirteenth Tribe* that over 90% of today's 'Jews' are **not** descended from Biblical Jewry, but from the Asiatic Khazars. Consequently the Jews have as much right to Palestine as do the Eskimos!

There is no such thing as the Palestinian people.

This "justification" of Zionism is absurd. Was not the Israeli invasion of Lebanon designed to rid border areas of **Palestinian** guerillas? If the Palestinians exist, the Zionist case crumbles. If they don't exist, could Mr. Begin explain why thousands of his troops were seized by hallucinations last year in Lebanon and tried to track down Jew-killing ghosts!

The Palestinians left their land voluntarily.

Archeologists and anthropologists have shown that the Arabs were in Palestine as far back as 1,200 BC. Despite the fact that many empires have risen and fallen in this region since then, the Palestinians have remained in permanent occupation for over 1,300 years. This being so, it is obvious that only force could have removed them from the land of their forebears. Christopher Sykes, a pro-Jewish writer, admitted terror was the cause of their departure when he referred in *Crossroads to Israel* to the "Jewish threats and aggression towards Arab populations".

The Balfour Declaration, a legally binding document, promised the creation and maintenance of Israel.

The Jews are fond of citing the Balfour Declaration which, though signed in December 1917, was only published in 1920. The reason for the delay was that on October 25th 1915 (two years earlier note) Sir Henry MacMahon, High Commissioner of Egypt, promised the Sheriff of Mecca Arab independence in return for Arab military assistance in the war effort, assistance that proved vital. This being so the Balfour Declaration is legally worthless.

"It was only after we made the desert bloom that they (the Arabs) became interested in taking it from us"..... Former Israeli PM Levi Eshkol.

Thanks to the efforts of anti-Arab Jews and Gentiles, people think that Arab lands are just desert, full of camels, magic lamps and Turkish Delight! This is like arguing that all British males wear pinstripe suits, bowler hats and carry umbrellas.

As far back as 1897 C. R. Conder wrote:

"The hills of Palestine were covered with brushwood, the plains of Caesarea dotted with oak. In the more open lands, wheat and barley, oats, indian corn, durrah, rice, millet, lentil beans and sesame were grown. Cotton and flax and indigo were cultivated in the plains and in the Jordan Valley."

Some desert! But even if Palestine was totally barren, it would not alter the fact that this land belongs to the Arabs. Theft is theft and the Arabs want **their** property back!

Israelis are democrats, Arabs are communists.

We have already seen the absurdity of calling Israel a democracy. It is equally absurd to label the Arabs as communists. Communism seeks to destroy religion, yet the vast majority of Arabs are either devout Christians or Muslims.

It is true that many Arab states buy their weapons from Communist nations, but if the West won't sell them weapons, because of their Jewish lobbies, what are the Arabs to do? Wait, unarmed, to suffer like Beirut?

Israel is a peace-loving nation terrorized by Arab psychopaths.

This is true if you consider shooting, maiming, torture, brutality, newspaper censorship, military rule, curfews, house arrest, imprisonment, deportation, socio-economic and legal discrimination, property confiscation/destruction, assassination and carbombs as the mark of a 'peace-loving nation'!

All these tactics have been directed at the Arabs for the last 25 years. Zionist hypocrisy is limitless. It was the Zionists that began the anti-Arab and anti-British terror campaigns of the '30s and '40s. It was the Zionists who initiated anti-Arab wars in 1948, 1956, 1967 and 1982. It was the Zionists who reduced the 475 Arab villages of pre-1948 Palestine to less than 90. It was Ben Gurion who said: "Israel is the country of the Jews and **only** of the Jews."

Compare this with the July 2nd 1919 statement of the All-Arab General National Syrian Congress:

"Our Jewish fellow citizens shall continue to enjoy the rights and bear the responsibilities which are ours in common."

Arab intransigence is the result of Zionists persecuting a placid people beyond endurance.

Israel is a poor, defenceless, friendless nation.

How true! It has only 3.5 Billion dollars annually of U.S. military aid, the backing of the world's major financial and industrial conglomerations, the support of most Western governments, the powerful Jewish lobbies in the West (they contributed 500,000,000 dollars in just six days in 1967 towards the war effort), control of the world's major publishing and broadcasting media and innumerable prostitute politicians. It also has the fourth largest Armed Forces in the world and it is an 'open secret' that it possesses nuclear weapons.

Israel is **not** poor, it is **not** defenceless and it is **not** friendless.

All the above facts are largely unknown to the public because of the Zionist stranglehold on the media. But when lies, blackouts, 'Holocaust' tear-jerkers etc fail in their objective, Zionist fanatics sacrifice ordinary Jews "for the greater good". They murder ordinary Jews, blame it on Arabs or "neo-nazis" and then manipulate public sympathy to get their own way.

For example, in November 1940 the S.S. Patria was blown up in Haifa harbour, killing 276 Jews. The operation appeared to be the work of the British, but it transpired in 1950 that the Jewish Haganah had committed the atrocity as part of the campaign to get the British out of Palestine. Such incidents have continued to the present day... whenever Israel is being criticized, bombings and 'anti-semitic' outbursts conveniently appear.

Don't be fooled any longer! Israel is **the** Hate-State!

PALESTINE FOR THE PALESTINIANS!

THE GODFATHERS OF DEATH



MOSHE DAYAN: "It is our destiny to be in a state of continual warfare with the Arabs."

SAMUEL FLATTO-SHARON. Finances operations carried out by Jewish death squads.

NATHAN FREEDMAN-YELLIN. The Commander of Jewish Stern Gang who murdered British statesman Lord Moyne.

ARIEL SHARON. The sick-minded psychopath who planned the horrific Beirut massacre of last summer.

The Real Meaning of Israel's Right to Exist

GRAVEROBBERS

In May 1974 the Israelis invaded south Lebanon. After their withdrawal from Quneitra, the capital of the Golan Height, hardly any buildings were left standing and all the Christian churches had been desecrated. Father George Muhassal said:

"The concrete tombs were opened by machine gun fire. The bodies were brought outside and systematically looted. Hands were broken off to get bracelets, teeth with gold were taken."

Comment on such depravity is superfluous.

THE ZIONISTS MURDERED 234 BRITONS TOO!

British soldiers watch over the bodies of comrades who were murdered by Irgun terrorists.

The bodies of Sergeant Clifford Martin and Sergeant Mervyn Paice. These two British servicemen were slow-hanged with piano wire by Jewish terrorists who then booby-trapped their bodies.

"We Hate The British"

"I hated them (the British), we all did; all the young people were joining the Irgun or the Stern Gangs. I decided I'd do anything to get the British out. I will always have the blood on my hands. But when I walk through these corridors now, I feel I did something worthwhile, something historical. I remember every detail of the operation and I think perhaps that my grandfather would be proud."

ISRAEL LEVY, who participated in the King David Hotel bombing in which 91 people were murdered.

WHY THE N.F. SUPPORTS THE PALESTINIANS

THE NF supports the Palestinian people for one principal reason... we regard them as a Nationalist community being persecuted by Israeli Imperialism. As British Nationalists demanding British control over our Destiny, how can we feel other than immense sympathy for the Palestinians who are making similar demands for themselves?

It is possible that some will see our espousal of the Palestinian cause as purely opportunistic, especially since the Beirut massacre has unveiled many 'friends' that the Palestinians didn't realize they had until recently. It is true that the NF has not done as much as it would have liked on behalf of the Palestinians, but this has been due principally to financial inadequacy rather than lack of political will. This being so, we can show briefly that our support for the Arabs goes back further than the summer of 1982.

A. K. Chesterton, the first Party Chairman, devoted his life to combatting the Zionist menace wherever it appeared. In 1967, A.K. wrote:

"To tell the Arabs, as some fatuous British politicians and leader-writers are fond of doing, that they must find a *modus vivendi* with Israel is like telling an organism that it must find a *modus vivendi* with cancer."

In 1972, when it appeared that Menachem Begin was coming to Britain, the NF produced a leaflet entitled *Bring Begin to Trial for War Crimes!*

In 1973, the year of the Oil crisis, when the Jewish media was screaming about the Arabs holding the world to ransom, and when it was 'unfashionable' to be pro-Arab, Chesterton penned the article "Palestine: Keeping the Record Straight" which noted:

"My opinion, supported by historians, is that the Khazars have never had even a ghost of a claim to the 'Promised Land'."

In early 1978, Begin arrived in Britain, feted by a corrupt government as a 'statesman'. During his visit he was confronted by hundreds of NF members protesting about his foul presence. The party sought to have him arrested for the murder of Arabs and Britons, committed during the '30s and '40s, but the "British" authorities refused to act.

In May 1979 we went into the General Election, our manifesto stating:

"NF policy towards the Middle East will be directed towards a realignment away from Israel and towards the Arab states. We will resolutely oppose any further expansion of Israel's borders at the expense of her Arab neighbours."

In 1981 Brighton NF produced a popular broadsheet *The Balfour Report* which detailed the hate campaign carried out by Zionists against both Arabs and Britons.

In 1982 at the NF's AGM a resolution condemning the invasion of Lebanon was overwhelmingly passed, it in part stated:

"This meeting sees the Beirut massacre as the culmination of Zionist-Jewish hatred for the Palestinian people. This meeting also recognizes that the greater part of the responsibility for the instability in the Middle East lies with Israel. Until the Palestinians are accorded the right to self-determination in their own sovereign nation-state, there can be no peace in the area. Therefore, this meeting affirms that a National Front government would, by whatever means necessary, assist the Palestinians in their just struggle."

We conclude by asking just who will attack us as opportunists? Firstly, there will be the Tory Capitalists, who will say that they support the Palestinians and then urge them to adopt a "free market economy". Since this type of economy is both decadent and immoral, and since it is internationalist at heart, we can fully appreciate that such types have no interest in Palestinian **Nationhood.**

Secondly, there will be the communists and socialists urging the adoption of a "democratic, secular, socialist system"; that is, an atheistic slave state – an insult to an intensely religious people fighting for Freedom – modelled on an ideology founded and still largely led by Jews.

So what is the NF telling the Arabs to do? In short, nothing. The Arabs must be fed up of being told what to do by all and sundry. We support the Cause of Palestinian self-determination... this means that it is for the Palestinians, not us, to decide what kind of society they want.

ANTI-ZIONISTS OF THE WORLD UNITE AND FIGHT!

SMASH ZIONISM—JOIN THE N.F.!

To: National Front, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

☐ *I wish to become a Full Member of the National Front and enclose £6 for membership.*

☐ *Please send me further details of the National Front. I enclose 50p for an information pack.*

Tick appropriate box.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Books To Read

EIGHTH CRUSADE. Waters-Taylor. £2.30 inc. p+p.
A political and historical survey of Zionism and the Jews. It will make your blood boil that this dangerous creed is still menacing both the Middle East and the Western world. Easy to read and informative.

THE THIRTEENTH TRIBE. Koestler. £2.45 inc. p+p.
This book, written by a Jew, made the Zionists scream in anguish! It exposes the fraudulent claim that Jewry has an unassailable right to Palestine. Needless to say the author was denounced as an 'anti-semite'.

THE JEW, THE GYPSY AND EL ISLAM Burton. £4.60 inc. p+p.
This celebrated nineteenth century Briton reveals in intricate detail the nature and attitudes of these three cultural groups with whom he was intimately connected. Unusual, but fascinating reading.

THE CONTROVERSY OF ZION. Reed. £7.00 inc. p+p.
A detailed summary of Jewish history and of the influence of Zionism from pre-Biblical times until the present day on world events.

WHO ARE THE PALESTINANS? Hadawi. £4.00 inc. p+p.
A cassette recording by the illustrious Palestinian diplomat Sami Hadawi. In this impressive lecture he outlines Palestinian history, the struggle for national autonomy and the Arab future. This lecture tells you what the mass media will not, equipping you with the facts you need to intelligently assess the current scene in the Middle East.

A Patriot Speaks Out

Emir Faisal, former Foreign Minister in Saudi Arabia, replying to U.S. Minister William Eddy:

"We Arabs would rather starve or die in battle than see our lands and people devoured by the Zionists, as you would do if we were giving them one of **your** states for a nation."

EXPOSE ZIONIST LIES!

Help to distribute this supplement and fight back against Israel. Send £4.50 for 50 copies, **postage free!** Cheques/P.O.'s made payable to "Nationalism Today", 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

This supplement was produced as part of *Nationalism Today*, a 20-page magazine which supports the National Front. Subscriptions are available for 12 issues, priced £7.00. Cheques/Postal Orders made payable to "Nationalism Today". Send to: NT, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Books reviewed from "Nationalist Books" at same address.

Printed and published by N.T. Press, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

MARTIN WEBSTER

Trouble shooting

"A SECOND DANIEL COMES TO JUDGEMENT!"

"MIXED" is the only word I can use to describe my experiences of Judges in the Inner London Sessions House when I have attended that Court to Appeal against convictions and sentences handed down by Magistrates.

Let me explain:

When I was a very naughty young extremist of 19 in 1962 I used to sell copies of *The National Socialist* at Notting Hill Gate. I always did a roaring trade and never had any trouble – except once, from a local Polish-Jewish property owner. He complained about me to the Police and in due course I was prosecuted for "Insulting Words and Behaviour".

By some strange co-incidence I was brought before Mr. Raphael, a Jewish Magistrate who used to sit at Marylebone Magistrates Court.

I was more closely questioned by Mr. Raphael than by the Prosecution solicitor. He wanted to know about lampshades, bars of soap and gold teeth. He showed scant interest in the facts of the incident for which I was being prosecuted!

He found me 'guilty' and bound me over. Despite this light sentence I was shocked by the conviction. (Remember, this was in pre-Race Relations Act days!) Dr. William Mitchell, a champion of free speech for racial-nationalists, had attended the hearing and he was so appalled by it that he kindly hired a barrister to enable me to Appeal at Inner London Sessions.

Just before the Appeal commenced the Prosecution told my barrister that Lady Janner, wife of Sir Barnett Janner (then President of the Board of Deputies of British Jews) was to be one of the two Magistrate assessors who were to sit with the Judge, Mr. Justice Seaton.

This was false information. It had been relayed in the expectation that I would instruct my barrister to object to Lady Janner's involvement and the hope that such an objection (groundless as Lady Janner was *not* present) would prejudice my case in the eyes of Judge Seaton and the two Magistrates who *were* sitting with him.

I instructed my barrister to object, but he funked the job. He merely announced that I had a statement to make which he could not bring himself to articulate.

I told the Court:

"This case involves objections by Jews to my exercise of free speech. It was bad enough having a Jewish Magistrate deal with my case. Now I am told that Lady Janner, whose husband is President of the Jewish Board of Deputies, is sitting. An Englishman is entitled to be tried by his peers, and I do not regard Lady Janner as my peer."

Judge Seaton had a quick consultation with the two assessors, then leaned forward, beaming over the top of his gold half-glasses, and said: "Mr. Webster: Lady Janner is not sitting with us today!"

The case was heard and my barrister gave an excellent address on the need to preserve free speech "even for unpopular causes".

After retiring for a few minutes, Judge Seaton and his assessors returned, upheld my Appeal and granted me £10 costs against the Police!

Times – and people – have changed at Inner London Sessions House.

LO AND BEHOLD!

I was there again last February to Appeal against convictions and sentence handed down by Mr. McDermott, the Horseferry Road Magistrate, after he had found me 'guilty' of "Threatening Words and Behaviour" and "Disorderly Conduct at a Public Meeting". (The sentences: two one-month concurrent/suspended jail terms plus £500 costs.)

The prosecution arose out of the disruption of a Young Conservative public meeting at Caxton Hall, Westminster, on 8th February 1982, at which Home Secretary William Whitelaw was speaker. I called out to him:

"Whitelaw, you bastard – why did you see to it that Joe Pearce was hounded into jail when you refused to press prosecutions against the leaders of the Bristol and Brixton riots?"

Later during the meeting a smoke 'bomb' was thrown at Whitelaw. (Mr. McDermott declared in his judgement that he was satisfied that I knew nothing of the smoke 'bomb'.)

For my Appeal I secured the services of a barrister via Legal Aid. At a pre-trial conference my solicitor announced that he had been advised that the Judge likely to hear my Appeal was Mr. Justice Peter Mason ("Penal Peter") – the Judge who sent Joe Pearce down for six months – i.e. the event which prompted my interjection at the Whitelaw meeting!

I naturally instructed my lawyers to register an objection with the Clerk of the Court to the idea that Judge Mason was an appropriate Judge to hear my Appeal.

Was the information given to my lawyers about Judge Mason being listed true? I do not know. All I do know is that having registered an objection to *one* Judge before the hearing, it prejudiced my ability to object to whichever *alternative* Judge was listed.

Lo and behold! When I arrived at Court, who did I find had been listed to hear my Appeal? Judge George Shindler! During the lunch-break on the first day I phoned the NF bookshop and learned that the Judge had deleted the 'c' from his parents' family name: **Schindler.**

At the end of the hearing Judge Shindler dismissed my Appeal, increasing my "totally inadequate" concurrent/suspended one-month jail terms to **three months** and my costs liability **to £1,250!**

My co-Appellant, the truly pathetic Terry Rowe (who had been bullied by the Police into confessing that he threw the smoke 'bomb', when he did **not** do so; and who Appealed only against a three month jail sentence, not against conviction) had his jail term **reduced** to one month.

In justifying the variation of Rowe's sentence, Judge Shindler made reference to "unscrupulous leaders of political groups who put up their foolish young followers to perform dangerous acts" (or words to that effect).

He was careful not to mention my name or the name of the National Front, as that might have provided the basis for a further Appeal since no evidence was produced to suggest that I had "put up" anybody to throw the smoke 'bomb', or that I had prior knowledge of its existence. Indeed, no such suggestions were even canvassed in Court as possibilities.

These comments by Judge Shindler were not merely unbased on any evidence that he had heard: they were totally unfair.

Anybody who knows my record of activity in the Nationalist movement – from the 'Jostling of Jomo Kenyatta' in 1964 (which resulted in me spending two months in jail), through the 'One Man March' in Hyde in 1977; to the heckling of Whitelaw last year – will concede that I **lead from the front**, hide behind nobody, and ask nobody to take risks that I do not take myself.

I am convinced that Judge Shindler was well aware of my record and style of activity. That he should have uttered such prejudicial innuendo indicates to me and others that he viewed me with a deep personal hostility which affected his whole approach to my Appeal.

A WAG?

When I left Court a lady reporter from the Fleet Street Press Agency said to me:

"I suppose you've done your research on Judge Shindler just as we have. I expect you know that he is a leading member of the Jewish community. Do you suppose there is a wag in the Court listing office?"

The selection of Judge Shindler to hear my appeal can hardly have been an accident, since I understand that about 15 Gentile Judges sit at the Court – apart from Judge Peter Mason. The wag – if wag there was – was probably as **unintentionally** unfair to Judge Shindler as he was **intentionally** unfair to me.

In view of the fact that I am well known to *all* "leading members of the Jewish community" as a life-long opponent of Zionist-Jewry and am regarded by them as a "notorious Anti-Semite", and in view of the fact that Judge Shindler is a leading member of the Jewish community, it was clearly wrong for him to have heard my Appeal.

Some might say that Justice was done to me regardless of who the Judge was. Maybe. But as the cliche has it: "Justice must not only be done – it must be **seen** to be done!"

In the eyes of many people, the circumstances of my Appeal were such that Justice was **not** "seen to be done".

JOE PEARCE

Protect British Industry From Imports!

ANSWERING THE MARXIST CASE AGAINST IMPORT CONTROLS

MARXISM is supposed to be the opposite of capitalism. Yet, like their capitalist counterparts, many Marxists openly condemn the introduction of import controls.

The Marxist case against protectionism is exemplified in a booklet produced by the Socialist Workers Party entitled *Why Import Controls Won't Save Jobs.*

Right from the start this booklet attempts to blur the real issue behind the call for import controls. It states quite correctly that *"at least half of British exports depend on originally imported materials"*. However, it then goes on to conclude that *"this fact means that every expansion of British output will produce an increase in imports... That is why increases in imports today usually go with increasing exports – the one is necessarily dovetailed with the other. If you tried to stop imports, British output – and jobs – would be massacred."* This last statement is utter rubbish, deliberately designed to cloud over the real issues and mislead the reader. The fact is that the imports they are referring to in this passage are the **raw materials** needed for British manufacturing industry. Nobody has ever suggested that the raw materials needed for manufacturing industry should be stopped. The only imports which threaten jobs are **manufactured goods** and it is these which the advocates of protectionism seek to control, not the raw materials required by industry.

This false reasoning is continued a few paragraphs further on when the writers state that *"if companies are prevented from importing, either they go bust because they cannot produce as cheaply as foreign competitors, or they have to be subsidised to an even greater extent than before"*. This passage is rather vague as to whether the imports concerned in this case are raw materials or components, but either way the statement is inaccurate. If the imports concerned are raw materials it won't be a question of not being able to produce "as cheaply as foreign competitors" because they will simply not be able to produce anything at all! This may sound obvious enough, but since the authors of this booklet don't appear to differentiate between raw material imports and manufactured imports it is necessary for us to do so.

However, if the imports concerned in this case are components and not raw materials it is both inaccurate and self-contradictory. Manufactured components would only be kept out if the same components were being or could be produced in this country, in which case British industry would buy the components from a British company instead of importing them. And if foreign goods were kept out by widespread import controls there wouldn't be any "foreign competitors" for British companies to compete with. British industry would not "go bust because they cannot produce as cheaply as foreign competitors" or "have to be subsidised to an even greater extent than before". The statement argues against import controls from a standpoint which assumes economic conditions which exist only when there aren't any!

DECEITFUL

This same deceitful and illogical reasoning continues in the very first paragraph of the following chapter: *"Increased imports may be going into British factories as the raw materials or the components needed for increased production – they may therefore mean more and not fewer jobs."*

This argument, as already explained, is so stupid that one must conclude that the writers are either completely naive as to the real facts of economic life or – which is more likely – they are cynically attempting to obscure the issue for their own ends.



The Socialist Workers Party policy booklet, Why Import Controls Won't Save Jobs, *is remarkably similar to the Conservative Party Manifesto!*

What these ends may be and why they necessitate the clouding of the real issues concerning import controls will be discussed later. First, it is useful to note some of the other arguments the SWP uses against the raising of tariffs and the stopping of imports.

The argument advanced on page seven of the SWP booklet is identical to the argument put forward on page fifteen of the 1979 Conservative Manifesto, i.e. that import controls lead to higher prices. Besides noting with interest the similarity in policy between Trotskyites and Tories on this matter, it is absolutely crucial that we nail the lie that protectionism necessarily leads to price inflation.

To quote the SWP booklet: *" Import controls automatically mean increased prices in the shops. The cheap imported goods are now either no longer there, or they're more expensive because there's import duty on them".*

On the surface, this sounds like a strong argument, and it is one which has led to many people believing that import controls aren't practical. It is, however, not true since price levels can only be measured relative to wage levels. Something costing £1 in the shops is cheaper, in real terms, to somebody earning £100 a week than to somebody earning £50 a week. Therefore, any increase in prices which may be caused by the introduction of import controls will have to be measured against the increase in the nation's wealth and the reduction of unemployment which will undoubtedly come about following a programme of protectionism.

This can be seen even more clearly when one takes into account the effects which free trade is having on the British economy. **If imports are allowed to continually flood into Britain, more and more industries will be destroyed and more British workers will find themselves on the dole queue. Meanwhile, the wages of those people still lucky enough to have a job will be kept down by the economic recession thus caused. In such circumstances the average wage will be considerably lower, in real terms, than it would be in a fully protected economy.**

SIMILARITIES

Remarkable similarities also exist between Trotskyites and Tories on the subject of whether import controls will save jobs. For instance, the SWP booklet states that *"what workers in British companies protected by import controls gain, workers in British exporting companies lose"* (page seven). This is identical in meaning, if not in wording, to Tory candidate Peter Lilley:s allegation that *"as many jobs would be destroyed in the export industries as would be replaced in the import-replacing industries"* (see article in the next issue).

The SWP booklet further states on page eight that *"jobs in agriculture fell from 1,340,000 in 1940 to 748,000 in 1970, despite all the import controls and the very big increase in output per worker"*. This exposes a great deal of ignorance on the part of the authors as to the reason behind the decline in the numbers of agricultural work-

ers in Britain. This decline was caused by mechanisation (hence the increase in output per worker), amalgamation and, last but not least, **not enough import controls!**

But if all the arguments employed by the authors of the SWP booklet are easily exposed as being shallow, one of the statements on page eleven is even more shallow and naive than the rest put together: *"Because the Third World capitalists are relatively small compared to the giants of the world economic system, their bargaining power in the world market is relatively weak, and they are the first to be pushed out."*

Obviously the economic gurus of the SWP are not aware that these "relatively small" Third World manufacturing sweatshops are merely newly established subsidiaries of the big multi-national companies, "the giants of the world economic system"!

CYNICAL

And this brings us back to the earlier point about whether the SWP are using these shallow arguments as a cynical attempt to cloud over the imports issue for their own ends. It is hard to believe that the leading economic theorists in the SWP are so stupid that they are not aware that multi-nationals control the bulk of the expanding Third World industries. Similarly, it is hard to believe that they don't realise that many of their other arguments against import controls are false.

There is, therefore, another reason for the SWP's support for international free trade, and it lies squarely in the very essence of their ideology. As Trotskyites they believe that their Marxist revolution must be an **international** revolution, and they reiterate this point in the last paragraph of the booklet: *"More than 130 years ago, Karl Marx made the call: 'Workers of the world unite!' He was right then, and today that call is more relevant than ever before. The world is one world with one working class."*

That, in a nutshell, is the explanation for the SWP's opposition to import controls. They, like the capitalists, are internationalists, and import controls, by definition, are specifically **national**. Import controls have the effect of strengthening national sovereignty, while communists and capitalists alike seek to destroy it.

In the next issue Joe Pearce answers the Capitalist Case Against Import Controls.

Read

CANDOUR

The magazine started by the National Front's first chairman, A. K. Chesterton, is now available from the Nationalist Bookshop. Send 50p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

SOUTH AFRICAN PATRIOT

South Africa's leading racial nationalist magazine is now available from the National Front. Send 40p plus 15p postage to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

Media Watch



LIGHT RELIEF

CHANNEL 4 TV reached rock bottom, in more ways than one, in an episode of its health series *Well Being*, transmitted recently. A report therein opened in good taste with a close-up of a lavatory cubicle sign changing from 'Engaged' to 'Vacant', to the melodious accompaniment of the sound of flushing waters. A Distinguished Medical Expert emerged from said cubicle, to discourse for the next twenty minutes in these salubrious surroundings on the tasteful topic of human faeces. His interviewer, one Pam Armstrong, despite her evident and charming femininity (unusual for this Channel, she is neither lesbian nor Black), seemed to enjoy being thus lectured on crap in the Gents.

Apparently, the Learned Medic claimed, Blacks are superior to Whites in the shitting stakes. "Their bowel motions float whilst ours sink. And they are a nicer shape."

We are quite happy to concede Negro superiority in matters excremental. It's nice to know they contribute something to our civilisation, be it only finely-crafted turds bobbing about in the 'bog'.



"BBC 1 is now closing down but we continue our programmes with a blank screen and white noise for semi-morons who cannot be bothered to switch off."

"OUTCRY"?

IF a 73-year-old grandmother was the victim of a mugging or violent robbery there would be an outcry.

REPRINTED above is an extract from the *Daily Mirror's* editorial for March 8th. It refers to the case of Harriet Mellor, a 73-year-old grandmother, who claims she was punched and slapped by police officers in her own home.

The *Mirror* is full of sympathy for Mrs Mellor but how true is their claim that "there would be an outcry" if a 73-year-old grandmother was the victim of a mugging or a violent robbery?

Take, for example, seventy-three-year-old Elaine Kendall who was rushed to hospital after being attacked by two Black muggers in Brixton. The muggers threw her to the ground and snatched her handbag which contained £2.

She was taken to hospital suffering from a broken hip. She underwent an emergency operation and surgeons implanted a steel pin to help knit the bones together .

This was the fourth time Mrs Kendall had been mugged in less than five years, yet where was the "outcry" from the *Daily Mirror*?

Similarly, where was the "outcry" from the *Daily Mirror* when six old-age-pensioners were **raped** in South London? The victims included an 89-year-old grandmother, an 81-year-old grandmother and a 77-year-old grandmother. Surely this horrific case should have qualified for an "outcry" but instead far from warranting a front page news story, this mass rape of old ladies wasn't even reported on any page of the newspaper.

Of course, the *Mirror's* failure to report this story had nothing to do with the fact that the rapist was a West Indian...

An everyday story of immigrant folk

RADIO'S long running favourite *The Archers* now has a brand new rival – an everyday story of immigrant folk!

For earlier this year, BBC Radio Leicester started broadcasting a twice-weekly serial about the lives of the fictional Patel family from India.

And BBC bosses are hoping that *Kanhani Apni Apni*, meaning "our stories", will pick up fans like the farm folk of Ambridge.

The serial revolves around the lives and loves of the Patels.

In the first episode, the heroine Leela came to Britain to marry her fiance. Programmes since have followed the "problems" she faces settling down.

Commenting on the Asian programme, producer Jo Reeve, said: "Radio Leicester already has a daily magazine programme for ethnic minorities, but I decided I wanted to experiment."

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

ROMANY

Dear Patriots,

May I offer my congratulations for the excellence of content in issue No. 14, especially as the usual content is of a high, relevant standard?

'Falklands Blood Money'; a well-written article this; though I regret the fact that mention was not made of the fact that 'British engines' (Rolls, I believe), were, allegedly, supplied to West Germany, for use in the 'Naval-craft' destined for the Argies.

Being a 'Gyppo' myself, it was with great interest that I read that classic by Sir Richard Burton *The Jew, The Gypsy & El Islam*. Boy, oh, boy; what an insight into the Jewish character is revealed therein!

Sir Richard Burton, (author of the classic *The Thousand and One Nights*), collected the material for *The Jew* in the period between 1869-1871, over a hundred years ago. The astounding conclusion that he reaches I hereby quote; **"Such are the arts which have enabled the Jew to arrive at his present position. And he may confidently look forward to the time when the whole financial system, not only of Europe from one end to the other, but of the whole world, will be in the hands of a few crafty capitalists whose immense wealth shall, with a few pulsations of the telegraph, unthrone dynasties and determine the destines of nations."**

Of course, we are all well aware of the fulfillment of this message of portent, written so long ago, yet there is more to be read that reveals 'The Jew' to us, and reiterates the words of Paul The Apostle when he said; **"They please not God and are contrary to all men."**

'The Nation First and Last',

Oggi Thomas Odley.
(Romany Guild),
Caravans, Baldock, Herts.

Ed.: *The Jew, the Gypsy and El Islam* is available from Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey. Price £3.60 plus 62p p.&p.

GOOD COVER

Dear Editor,

In my opinion the idea of having a complete picture covering the page, with the writing over the top, has made the cover page of issue 13 of *N.T.* ("Karl Marx") much more attractive and saleable.

Yours sincerely,

D. Durant,
London E.

RISING

Dear Editor,

We recently purchased several back issues of your magazine in Brick Lane and we found much of the content most stimulating. Naturally, we don't agree with every nuance and detail of your analysis, but we do like the radical line that you are taking.

We trust you have seen copies of our journal *Rising*, which is dedicated to a politico-philosophical interpretation of British Nationalism. We feel that the lack of an ideological foundation for British Nationalism has greatly hindered our progress in the past. In order to try and redress the balance we are presenting our readers with the writings of important European Nationalists, past and present.

We believe that this will promote constructive thought in Nationalist circles and will result in a more coherent and integrated philosophy. We also feel that a firm knowledge of European Nationalist thought will enable fruitful co-operation between the various European Nationalist movements to take place. It has to be stressed that we are **not** Pan-Europeanists. Europe has a tremendously rich cultural diversity that can only strengthen our mutual struggle in the years to come and for that reason we support the cultural distinctiveness of the European nations and resolutely oppose any attempt to replace them with a bogus "All-European identity".

Finally, we take this opportunity to congratulate you on your excellent publication.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Murphy,
Editor, *Rising*,
BCM Kursaal,
London WC1V 6XX.

ANTI-COMMUNIST

Dear *N.T.*,

I enclose a cheque for £10 for 200 anti-communist supplements. I suggest, however, that rather than send them all to me, you give me 100 and give 100 to someone else, since a pro-working class leaflet is unlikely to cut much ice in a middle-class Tory stronghold like St. Andrews, and we have no active Communists here anyway (we frightened them off).

It would thus be poor resource allocation to spend money mass-leafletting St. Andrews at the moment, although next October, when we get a new intake of students, I aim to contact each new student personally.

Yours sincerely,

David Michael,
St. Andrews University,
Fife.

NF STUDENTS

Dear *Nationalism Today*,

I would like to inform your readership of an important initiative being taken within the National Front at the moment – the revitalization of the YNF Student Organisation.

In the past the NF has often tended to avoid colleges and universities as being indifferent or hostile to our Cause, but the time has now come to re-establish our presence on the campus. Changing attitudes among a new generation of students, the complete loss of credibility of the 'Anti-Nazi' movement, and the NF's development of a powerful, exciting and radical ideology to present to intelligent young Britons all suggest that the potential support for and importance of the YNFSO is great indeed.

The aims of the YNFSO will be twofold. Firstly, to create publicity and put our message across to the student population, and to make sure that we become a thorn in the side of the Marxist Student Establishment.

Secondly, to develop ideology and political discussion within the YNFSO, to organise educational seminars and generally to promote Racial Nationalist thought, literature and culture both inside and outside the Party.

The development of ideology and the recruitment of the country's future 'leading lights' to our Cause is obviously of great importance to the National Front and I am sure that all *NT* readers will help the YNFSO in any way they can during the vital months ahead, most importantly by writing to me immediately (c/o *NT*) if they are or will be a college or university student and have not been contacted by me.

Yours for the National Revolution,

Paul Deacon,
Organiser, YNFSO.
Edinburgh,
Scotland.

FALKLANDS

Dear *NT*,

You may be interested to know that Israeli officials have admitted during a recent visit to Argentina in December by Yitzhak Shamir, their foreign minister, that Israel did supply the Argentinians with aircraft and arms during the Falklands war.

A member of Shamir's entourage was quoted in a broadcast from Buenos Aires on Israel's domestic radio as saying that Argentina's leaders were "full of appreciation and gratitude for the continuation of arms supplies during the war".

Allegations during the war that Israel was continuing to supply aircraft and other armaments to Argentina were strenuously denied by the Israeli Embassy in London and by Dr Yehuda ben Meir, Israeli Deputy Foreign Minister. Both now stand exposed as liars just as Nationalists always said they were.

The Israeli Zionists were happy to send arms to Britain's enemy in time of war, fully knowing as they did so that thereby they would be causing the deaths of numerous British servicemen. And some people wonder what we have got against the Jews!

Yours faithfully,

Margaret Steele,
Diss, Norfolk.

Rite Up

Ridicule, Hatred and Contempt

* Brillo Obongo, a Brixton student, was recovering in hospital last night, having eaten a text book. His teacher had told him to digest the contents!

* **Bernadette Devlin came third in a recent beauty contest, behind a pig and a cow!**

* A Jew and his son were walking down the road. Son to Dad: "Dad, did you pick your nose when you were little?" Dad: "Yes, son." Son: "Then why did you pick such a big one?"

* **Did you hear about the 'Super Salesman' who talked a Black mugger into buying his wallet?**

* A Pakistani recently bought a pair of 'Odour-eaters'. They ate him!

Old Bill

A special squad of middle-aged Police Constables in their forties has just taken over policing London's Notting Hill Black ghetto, because local Black "community leaders" threatened to riot unless younger officers were replaced. They complained the younger P.C.'s were "too young, too pushy and move us on too often."

The young coppers could also, of course, run faster in pursuit of a mugger, pickpocket or other such feature of Black community life. Which may well have been the real root of the Blacks' grievance...

Perhaps they will bring back T.V.'s P.C. Dixon, the oldest serving copper in Europe, to police the area. The fact that he is dead can only further good Police-community relations!

The Respectable Wing...

SHEFFIELD man Joe Short enjoys the edge over most of us. He says he has his own "hot line" to Hell, in the form of his very own personal "Guardian Demon" Azrael (yes – it seems **they've** got all the top jobs down there, too!). His mate Barry Bolton, who appears to have been the model for the bloke on the "Eraserhead" horror film poster, has what must be Britain's most unusual conviction: in 1969 he was "done" for "making use of two stolen railway sleepers in Satanic rites". And their comrade-in-arms David Myatt hasn't only got a conviction for crucifying cats alive as a "sacrifice to the Devil". He also has a unique (hopefully!), positive and constructive approach to politics: In 1975 he told a Leeds paper "I am a Fascist. I am a Fascist because Fascism stands for universal death and destruction and thus I may fulfill the wishes of my Master, Satan."

Apart from a common background of publically-paraded insanity and a future, with any luck, sharing a padded cell, these three characters have something else in common. They are all senior officials and in Myatt's case regular writers for John Tyndall's "British National Party (Mk.VI)" which was founded "to get away from the bad public image of the NF and the cranks and degenerates who were giving our Movement a bad name"...

Crocodile Tears

A political harangue by Kenyan District Officer Simon Onchari during a pro-Government rally in a bush village was rudely interrupted by an argument between a local crocodile and the village's prize bull over the menu for the croc's dinner. Onchari's tribal audience forgot about his oratory as they grabbed the front legs of their bull whilst the crocodile hauled on the animal's hindquarters. After a 20-minute tug of war between the Negroes and the crocodile, the reptile conceded the point and disappeared back into the river. The bull was only slightly injured. District Officer Onchari resumed speaking, but, forgetting his carefully learned lines singing the praises of Kenyan dictator and retired Mau-Mau murderer Daniel Arap Moi, launched into a fiery denunciation of Crocodiles. Heckling by crocodiles is rare in British politics.

Brewer's Droop

The House of Commons is "awash with alcohol" and would be better behaved if "some members drank rather less" says Dr. Colin Brewer, director of Westminster Hospital's Alcoholism Treatment Service. In his article "Are M.P.'s sober enough to judge?" published recently in a medical newspaper, the aptly-named Dr. Brewer gives expert support to a widely-held opinion about our Parliament. With bars open in the building 24 hours a day, Members to be seen frequently snoring on the benches in apparent drunken stupor, and Prime Minister's Question Time sounding more like the Monkey House's Feeding Time, the role of booze in British politics would appear to have been long neglected.

That our M.P.'s are drunk as well as stupid, treacherous and corrupt seems all too easy to believe!


TESTING.... A ONE A TWO A THREE A FOUR...
DONK DONK
"Friends, what I want to talk to you today is the threat to humanity that is presented to us today by the Spectre..."
"...of a completely brainless fart in a cowboy hat. Yes, friends, I'm talking about myself. I am so brainless I am not even aware of what I am saying to you at this moment in time!"
HEY, GUYS!! THIS AUTOCUE-HAT WORKS LIKE A DREAM! I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT I WAS SAYING BUT IT FELT GREAT!!!
John Field

Industrial Front

MINERS' DEFEAT IS BRITAIN'S DEFEAT

THE RESULT of the pithead ballot last month was a defeat for the miners, a defeat for the coal industry, and a defeat for British national sovereignty.

It was a defeat for the miners because their failure to strike over the pit closure will only encourage the Tory Government to close even more productive pits in the future. If the miners had stood firm the Tories would have thought twice before they announced the closure of any other pits. And the miners are only burying their heads in the sand if they believe that further extensive pit closures are not planned by the Government. Indeed, Margaret Thatcher's intentions were made very clear when she announced that she wanted to make BSC chairman Ian MacGregor the next chairman of the National Coal Board.

The American import MacGregor has already been largely responsible for the near-destruction of the steel industry, closing down steelworks and throwing thousands of workers onto the dole queues. In fact, he became so notorious for his leading role in the destruction of the steel industry that he became known as the "butcher". Yet he showed no remorse for all the redundancies he caused among steelworkers and he has already stated that he wants to carry out a similar sort of hatchet job on the coal-industry.

So why didn't the miners put up a more spirited resistance to the closures and to the appointment of MacGregor the Butcher in last month's ballot? The answer lies in the fact that many miners are now in debt to the system in the form of mortgages and hire purchase commitments and, as such, they simply can't afford to go on strike. One miner summed up the importance of this indebtedness to the way in which the miners voted in last month's ballot when he said: "It was a question of mortgage or MacGregor. The mortgage won."

"SOD YOU JACK"

Another factor which caused the miners to vote against strike action was the influence of many of their wives. One miner's wife epitomised the attitude of many others when she told the *Daily Telegraph* "I told my husband to vote against the strike. We can't live without the money and in any case we are planning a holiday abroad." This 'Sod you Jack, I'm alright' attitude shows no regard for the less fortunate miners in Wales who face being made redundant by pit closures. And in any case it is a very short-sighted outlook to have because one can't help wondering how this miner's wife will be able to "live without the money" when her husband's pit falls under MacGregor's hatchet and is closed. After all, it is not easy to "plan holidays abroad" from the pittance paid out in dole money to an unemployed miner.



As well as being a defeat for the miners themselves, their failure to take militant action could also prove disastrous to the coal industry as a whole.

The egomaniac Arthur Scargill elevated last month's ballot into what he called a "last ditch" battle. As a result, the outcome may well mean that the union is less likely to threaten strike action over any further cuts in the industry.

Margaret Thatcher can now go ahead with the appointment of MacGregor who will be given a mandate to concentrate all future coal production in fewer so-called 'super-pits' and to close down many mines and even whole coalfields.

PROFOUND AFFECT

However, there is one other consequence of last month's pithead ballot which could have a profound effect on the future of the whole country. In short, if the miners fail to take militant action and if the coal industry is allowed to be run down in the same way as the steel industry has been allowed to, then a further erosion of national sovereignty is bound to follow. If the mines are closed down in any numbers we will begin to become dependant upon foreign coal; we will have to import more coal instead of mining it ourselves. Britain will become dependant upon foreigners for our energy supply.

And this is not alarmist speculation or scaremongering but cold hard fact. The steel industry was run down and now we are becoming increasingly dependant upon foreign steel; the fishing industry has now been almost totally destroyed and now Britain, a country surrounded by thousands of miles of sea, has to import fish. Now, thanks to the failure of the miners to act, the coal industry is all set to go the same way.

It is not too late. The miners have the industrial muscle which will be necessary to stop the destruction of their industry but they must act soon. If they don't, they will lose their mortgages, their holidays abroad **and** their jobs. But, most important of all, they will lose their national freedom by leaving Britain at the mercy of foreign powers for her supplies of coal.

EGOMANIAC!

Egomaniac Arthur Scargill practises his "Fuhrer" pose.

WHITE COOLIES

ONE of the main causes of unemployment in Britain has been the flood of cheap imports into the country.

Cheap, sweated labour abroad can manufacture goods and export them to Britain cheaper than our own workers here can make them.

Therefore, in typical shylock Tory fashion, instead of imposing import controls and bolstering up British industry, the Tories are to introduce their own form of cheap, sweated British labour – our own unemployed youngsters!

For their "coolie" labour, the youths are to be paid a lousy £25 per week. This will of course make big savings for the capitalist firms that 'employ' them – for their pay will be much lower than adult workers, who as a result, might lose their jobs.

This scheme, along with the Tories intention to scrap their Fair Wages Resolution – which has protected workers from exploitation for nearly a century – shows that the Tories are prepared to do anything and trample on anyone in an effort to prop up the capitalist system.

TRADE UNIONISTS!

Keep Industrial Front informed! Send all Trade Union papers and journals to: N.T. (Industrial Front), 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

The West Midlands: Fighting Back

A series of articles by Ian Anderson focussing on individual branches and groups of the National Front.

RAMPAGING Black gangs, I.R.A. bombs and Sinn Fein marches, Communist agitators, massive unemployment and Sir Philip Knights all combine to make the West Midlands into probably the worst multi-racial nightmare in Britain.

It is against this background that the White British people, especially the youngsters are turning to the National Front. Two years ago the N.F. was having a struggle simply to cling onto a toehold in the West Midlands with Birmingham being the only unit even capable of holding members' meetings.

This small group started to grow around the time of the "British Jobs for British Workers" march in Nuneaton when substantial publicity was won as a result of the unprecedented banning of five proposed NF march routes through the West Midlands. The Group was able to capitalise on this publicity because of their regular paper sales, especially at Birmingham City Football Ground.

The fairly small number of local activists was able to achieve a considerable impact in this nightmare City. Birmingham people have good reason to hate the murderers of the IRA and whenever they or their supporters in Sinn Fein have showed their faces in Birmingham the National Front has been on hand to vociferously, and sometimes physically to show their disgust.

Birmingham members are always to be seen on national activities – no matter how far they have to travel, no matter how long it takes.

In January 1982 Sinn Fein chose Birmingham as the target for their Bloody Sunday march and despite connivance between the police chiefs and the IRA supporters the National Front was able to hold a counter march in the City thereby winning the sympathy of many local people.



Norman Tomkinson



Bob Jones

From the strengthened base which by this time had been established Birmingham N.F. continued to grow steadily so that by mid-1982 their regular Branch meetings were being attended by anything between 50 and 100 members. Many members who had drifted away from the Front rejoined the Branch which was now well organised and disciplined. The Committee of Norman Tomkinson, Bob Jones, Mark Neary and Dave Bakewell was giving a positive lead to the Branch thus engendering confidence amongst all the members.

As well as Birmingham members, supporters from other towns nearby started to attend Birmingham meetings and it was inevitable that after a while these supporters would want to start up their own units. So far it has been possible to fully establish units in both Wolverhampton and in Walsall.

In Wolverhampton John Wood, the Organiser, has formed a proper committee and as well as monthly meetings, both leafletting and paper sales are regular activities.

The latest unit to get going in the West Midlands is Walsall under the leadership of Alan Bonhomme and Mark Mosedale who have organised members meetings attended by over 50 Walsall members.

The other full unit in the West Midlands is Cannock where Charles Baugh has managed to steadily recruit a large number of members who attend their regular meetings.

With the example of Birmingham to follow there is every prospect that these newer units will develop into efficient and well run Branches thus allowing the National Front to emerge as a major political force in the West Midlands. All officials of the party in the West Midlands are fully aware of the importance of rapidly organising themselves in preparation for the general election.

Birmingham is already committed to fighting at least three constituencies while it is intended that the other units will be fighting at least one each. Not only does this require good organisation it also means that substantial sums of money are having to be raised. In addition to the £150 deposit, each candidate will be fully backed by a first class campaign involving an election address being sent to every household in the constituency, plus supplementary leaflets, posters, etc.

The growth of the National Front in the West Midlands has been very encouraging, the enthusiasm and drive of our officials has communicated itself to our members and has produced excellent results. The progress of these newer units puts some of our older established units in other parts of the country to shame. While the committees of these Groups tends to be young, any lack of experience is more than made up for by their enthusiasm.

The West Midlands may be a multiracial nightmare but the Whites are starting to fight back and it is the National Front that is leading them.

N.T. SALES LEAGUE

LAMBETH Branch have gone to the top of the *N.T.* Sales League, overtaking Newham Branch who have dropped to second position.

The highest new entry into the Sales League are Waltham Forest Branch who come straight into the league in fourth position.

Leeds are still the best Branch from outside London for selling *N.T.* in bulk, although Birmingham Branch have crept into the league in tenth position.

The top ten units for selling *N.T.* are:

1. LAMBETH
2. NEWHAM
3. TOWER HAMLETS
4. Waltham Forest
5. Leeds
6. Hackney
7. Wandsworth
8. Hounslow
9. Redbridge
10. Birmingham

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive Nationalism Today promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

Name .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

The following rates are for **12** issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: **£7.00** ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail **£7.30** ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail **£10.70** ☐ Unsealed air mail, Middle East **£11.30** ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, America, Africa **£12.20** ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand **£14.20** ☐ Half rates for 6 issues.
Please add 50p to foreign cheques as commission for our grasping bankers!
All cheques/P.O.'s should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

STEVE BRADY

IMMIGRATION SHOCK REPORT!

WE ARE BEING SWAMPED!

THE RESULTS of the 1981 Census, released in February, show that the population of "New Commonwealth and Pakistani" (i.e. Black and Asian) Immigrants in Britain has shot up by an alarming 32% since the previous census in 1971. There were officially 1,517,000 of these Blacks and Asians here at the time of the Census. Since then, in the year ending June 1982, another 53,000 have arrived. Such figures do not, of course include illegal Immigrants. Nor do they include other non-Whites from outside Pakistan or "New Commonwealth". For example, 12,000 Immigrants from the Middle East arrived in the year ending June 1982. Nor, most importantly, do they include Blacks and Asians born here.

And other figures released at the same time from the 1981 Government Labour Force Survey show that these Coloureds are breeding at a frightening rate. Firstly, unlike Whites, most Immigrants are under 30, with many breeding years ahead of them. Whilst only 43% of Whites are in this age-group, 59% of West Indians and Guyanese and 70% of Pakistanis and Bangladeshis are under 30. 60% of half-castes are under 16, and have yet to even start breeding. And once they do start breeding, Blacks and Asians reproduce nearly twice as fast as we do: the number of births per 1000 women per year is 112 for them, compared with a mere 59 for our women. In the London Borough of Brent alone, 63% of the babies born in 1981 were to Immigrant mothers. Blacks in Britain have already doubled their original Immigrant numbers: one Black in two in this country was born here.

Two facts emerge crystal clear from these figures. Firstly, despite persistent efforts by the Establishment to cover-up this figure, it is possible to deduce from these figures they have provided that the total number of non-Whites now in Britain, of all origins, legal and illegal, must be far above the official estimate of "about 2 million" and is unlikely to be less than 4½-5 million. And secondly, the non-White birth rate is so high compared to our own that even were the Tories to stop **all** further Coloured Immigration tomorrow, which is highly unlikely, the Black and Asian population would continue to soar. In fact, even if not a single additional Coloured Immigrant came here, the percentage of the population made up of Coloureds would **double** every generation from now on. **If this goes on, Britons now living will see our people become a minority in their own country within their lifetime. Unless a major, compulsory programme of repatriation is carried out, Britain will be swamped in a burgeoning tide of breeding Blacks in a few decades at most.**

WE DON'T NEED BLACK DOCTORS!

MULTI-RACIALISTS often claim that "without its Black and Asian doctors, Britain's Health Service would grind to a halt." But facts and figures recently released by the British Medical Association, the doctors' trades union, show that the real situation is very different.

Far from Britain being short of doctors of our own to run the Health Service, 1500 fully qualified medics are now on the dole. And, warns BMA Under-Secretary Dr. Frank Wells, the day may come when this seems "a low figure. We could have 10,000 doctors unemployed by the year 2000."

Already, competition for jobs in the Health Service is fierce, the more so as most hospital doctors are employed on contracts for one year only, rarely renewed for a second year in their posts. There are 25 times as many surgical registrars in training in Northern England alone for the likely number of senior vacancies. Among accident and emergency units, there are usually 40-50 fully-qualified candidates for each senior registrar's post. In Clackmannan, Scotland, 120 senior house officers recently applied for a single vacancy. Nor are matters better outside hospital practice: the BMA's Dr. Wells cites a vacancy in his own Ipswich general practice which "attracted 37 candidates, many of them young G.P.'s fresh from vocational training." The BMA is so concerned at this situation of too many doctors chasing too few jobs that they have recommended that the annual intake of medical students be cut next year from 4018 to 3841.

BACKWARD STEP

But this is a backward step which can only reduce the standard of medical care in this country in the long run. If the Coloured Immigrant doctors were sent home there would be enough jobs for our own doctors. And if our spending on health were brought into line with other White nations – thanks to Thatcher's reactionary and mean regime Britain spends only 5.4% of her Gross National Product on health care compared with 7.2% in France, 8.6% in Holland and 9% in the U.S.A. – we could afford to improve the standard of health care and attract back the many thousands of our best young doctors who have emigrated. The present low pay and long hours (over 100 a week for junior hospital doctors) have long driven our best medical talents abroad and left the care of Britain's sick in the hands of cheap Black labour. As in so many other fields, reactionary Capitalist Governments have been able to import Coloureds prepared to tolerate poor pay and conditions instead of paying Britons the proper rate for the job.

But apart from the clear fact that they are not needed here, they keep British doctors out of a job and hold wages and conditions down, there are two other reasons why Coloured doctors should be sent home. Firstly, many have questionable foreign qualifications, a disproportionate number have been involved in malpractice scandals, and often they can neither understand nor be understood properly by their British patients. And secondly, they are urgently needed in their own 'Third World' countries. In Pakistan, for example, there are ten times as many patients per doctor as there are here, and the position is worse in many parts of Africa. The Coloured doctors' home countries have often, and rightly, accused Britain of luring away their best doctors with pay and conditions which, though poor by our standards, are better than their home countries can afford to offer, leaving their fellow countrymen at home to suffer.

By letting Coloured doctors work in Britain, we are not only keeping British doctors out of work and driving the best British doctors abroad. We are also leaving millions of "Third World" Coloureds without proper medical care – a point liberal multiracialist Black-lovers are strangely silent about. Britain doesn't need Black doctors – their own countries do. They should be sent home now!

Printed and published by N.T. Press, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.